NEARI NEWS:

TRANSLATING RESEARCH INTO PRACTICE

An Essential Tool for Professionals Working with those who Sexually Abuse or . . A Great New Way to Stay Current with Cutting Edge Sexual Abuse Research.



Volume 1, Issue 2

May 2008

Dear Colleague,

Welcome to the second issue of NEARI E-NEWS!

We hope to give you quick, easy-to-read insights into cutting edge research. This issue looks at recent research into the effectiveness of treatment. If you have a particular question you would like us to respond to, please contact us directly as info@neari.com or at 413-540-0712.

Enjoy!

Steven Bengis and Joan Tabachnick www.neari.com

Featured Website

Research Shows that the Right Treatment for Adolescents Can Reduce Recidivism

by David S. Prescott, LICSW

Summary

A recent study by Lorraine Reitzel and Joyce Carbonell (2006) provides research that demonstrates the positive impact of treatment with sexually abusing youth. This newsletter describes the study and offers ideas for how professionals can conceptualize treatment.

The Issue

The right treatment applied to the appropriate client can reduce recidivism in adolescents who have sexually abused. However, professionals should remain vigilant to what factors help create meaningful client change.

The Research

Studies of treatment over the past 10 years have highlighted increasingly positive effects for juveniles as well as adults (Hanson, Gordon, Harris, Marques, Murphy, Quinsey, &



http://www.ncsbv.org/

If you have not visited this website, you are missing one of the most helpful, resource-rich websites for anyone working with sexually abusing youth. NCSBY is operated by Mark Chaffin and Barbara Bonner, two of the leading researchers in the field. At this website, you will find fact sheets and publications on a variety of issues including:

- What Research Shows About Sexually Abusive Adolescents
- Sexual Development and Sexual Behavior Problems in Children 2-12
- What Research Shows About Sexually Abusive Adolescent Girls
- Selected Readings about Adolescents and Children with Sexual Behavior Problems
- Children with Sexual Behavior Problems: Assessment and Treatment Report

About the Author: David S. Prescott, LICSW



David Prescott website

A nationally recognized expert in the field of sexual abuse assessment, treatment, management, and prevention, Mr. Prescott has published numerous articles and authored, edited, and co-edited books on risk assessment, interviewing, and providing residential treatment to youth. He is the President of ATSA and is currently the Treatment Assessment Director at the Sand Ridge Secure Treatment Center in Mauston, WI.

Join Our Mailing List!

Seto, 2002). Walker, McGovern, Poey, and Otis (2004) examined 10 studies of treatment for juveniles and described the results as "surprisingly encouraging," with cognitive-behavioral methods proving the most effective.

More recently, a review of nine studies of juveniles who have sexually abused by Reitzel and Carbonell (2006) found similar results. According to the abstract:

Published and unpublished data from nine studies on juvenile sexual offender treatment effectiveness were summarized by meta-analysis (N=2986, 2604 known male). Recidivism rates for crimes with or without treatment were:

Sexual 12.53% Non-sexual violent 24.73% Non-sexual non-violent 28.51% Unspecified non-sexual 20.40%

The "effect size" in this analysis is a comparative measure demonstrating that for every 100 youth who received alternative or no treatment and then recidivated, 43 youth who received the primary treatment went on to recidivate. When looking more closely at sexual recidivism, youth who participated in treatment had a sexual recidivism rate of 7.37% while youth in the control groups had a sexual recidivism rate of 18.98%. (See end of article for the full abstract.)

Implications for the Field

These relatively low recidivism rates and positive treatment effects are encouraging. The higher rates of non-sexual recidivism demonstrate the need to provide more comprehensive treatment aimed at all forms of misconduct, not just sexual abuse. Since punishment-only approaches have repeatedly proven not to work (Andrews & Bonta, 2007), it is unconscionable, as well as ineffective, not to provide our youth with meaningful opportunities to change.

While the results are encouraging, there has been no direct examination in the literature of treatment outcomes with youth who have refused, never started, or dropped out of treatment.

Implications for Professionals

The research shows that the right treatment approaches (primarily cognitive-behavioral and multi-systemic) with the appropriate client have a demonstrable positive impact on reducing recidivism. While certain methods show results, the key to successful outcomes is a focus on:

- 1. Ensuring program fidelity to the model for clinical interventions.
- Addressing not only sexual behaviors but issues that lead to other criminal acts as well.
- Adjusting treatment to accommodate youth with mental health, neurodevelopmental, and cognitive deficits, and
- 4. Addressing family and other contextual issues.

All of these caveats point to the centrality of quality clinical and abuse-specific assessments

Conclusion

Evidence is mounting that treatment intervention with juveniles will make our communities safer and give sexually abusing youth the opportunity to live abuse-free lives. These interventions are most successful when delivered by qualified clinicians with fidelity to the current research and modified to meet the needs of a wide-variety of youth who enter treatment.

To print a pdf of this article, click newsletter.

References

- Andrews, D.A., & Bonta, J. (2007). The psychology of criminal conduct, fourth edition. Cincinnati, OH: Anderson.
- Bem D. (1972). Self-perception theory. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), Advances in experimental social psychology, volume 6 (pp. 2-62). New York: Academic Press.
- Hanson, R. K., Gordon, A., Harris, A. J. R., Margues, J. K., Murphy, W.,

us at 413.540.0712 to let us know if you have a question or a topic you would like us to cover.

If at any time you no longer want the enewsletter, just let us know and we will remove your name from our list. We

Please email us at info@neari.com or call

If at any time you no longer want the enewsletter, just let us know and we will remove your name from our list. We value your trust. We will not sell or give your contact information to any other organization. Quinsey, V. L., & Seto, M. C. (2002). First report of the collaborative outcome data project on the effectiveness of treatment for sex offenders. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 14(2), 169-194.

- Marques, J.K., Wiederanders, M., Day, D.M., Nelson, C., & van Ommeren, A. (2005). Effects of a relapse prevention program on sexual recidivism: Final results from California's Sex Offender Treatment and Evaluation Project (SOTEP). Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research &
 - Evaluation Project (SOTEP). Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research & Treatment, 17(1), 79-107.

 Reitzel, L.R., & Carbonell, J.L. (2006). The effectiveness of sexual
 - offender treatment for juveniles as measured by recidivism: A metaanalysis. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 18, 401-421.

 • Walker, D.F., McGovern, S.K., Poey, E.L., & Otis, K.E. (2004). Treatment

effectiveness for male adolescent sexual offenders: A meta-analysis and review. Journal of Child Sexual Abuse, 13, 281-294. **Full Abstract** Published and unpublished data from nine studies on juvenile sexual offender treatment effectiveness were summarized by meta-analysis (N=2986, 2604 known male). Recidivism rates for sexual, non-sexual violent, non-sexual non-violent crimes, and unspecified non-sexual were as follows: 12.53%, 24.73%, 28.51%, and 20.40%, respectively, based on an average 59-month follow-up period. Four included studies contained a control group (n=2288) and five studies included a comparison treatment group (n=698). An average weighted effect size of 0.43 (CI=0.33-0.55) was obtained, indicating a statistically significant effect of treatment on sexual recidivism. However. individual study characteristics (e.g., handling of dropouts and non-equivalent follow-up periods between treatment groups) suggest that results should be interpreted with caution. A comparison of odds ratios by quality of study design indicated that higher quality designs yielded better effect sizes, though the difference between groups was not significant.